

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS FO Box 1430 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.tepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/542,537	07/18/2005	Yuichi Hirata	CONDA.00029	7042
22858 7590 12/18/2008 CARSTENS & CAHOON, LLP P O BOX 802334			EXAMINER	
			CHANG, RICK KILTAE	
DALLAS, TX 75380			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3726	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/18/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Application No. Applicant(s) 10/542 537 HIRATA ET AL. Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit Rick K. Chang 3726 -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS. WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 18 July 2005. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-10 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are rejected 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) 1-10 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are; a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some \* c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). \* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s)

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)

Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5/7/07, 1/26/06, 11/28/05.

Interview Summary (PTO-413)
 Paper No(s)/Mail Date. \_\_\_\_\_.

6) Other:

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application



Application No.

Application/Control Number: 10/542,537 Page 2

Art Unit: 3726

## DETAILED ACTION

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all
obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- Claims 1-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Koyama Kazuya (JP 2001-171472) in view of Colburn et al (US 3,920,170).

Re claims 1, 6: Kazuya discloses applying a contact surface pressure between portions of the wire to be bonded together (Solution, lines 6-7); and maintaining the contact surface pressure as equal to or higher than a predetermined level that is set (Solution, lines 6-7), except for conducting the thermal treatment in this state, wherein each bonding portion of the wire has a strength equal to or greater than 4 N.

Colburn discloses conducting the thermal treatment while the wire is under pressure (col. 2, lines 47-50).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Kazuya by conducting the thermal treatment while the wire is under pressure, as taught by Colburn, for the purpose of providing a uniform welding.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide each bonding portion of the wire has a strength equal to or greater than 4 N, since it has been held that where the general conditions of a claim are disclosed in the

Application/Control Number: 10/542,537 Page 3

Art Unit: 3726

prior art, discovering the optimum or workable ranges involves only routine skill in the art. *In re aller*, 105 USPO 233.

Re claims 2, 7: Kazuya and Colburn teach the invention as described with respect to claim 1 and the welding temperature and time are inherent and well known in the art during welding.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide a welding temperature and a welding temperature to satisfy the inequality of an equation disclosed in claim 2, since it has been held that where the general conditions of a claim are disclosed in the prior art, discovering the optimum or workable ranges involves only routine skill in the art. *In re aller*, 105 USPQ 233.

Re claims 3, 8: Kazuya discloses that the filtering member is a coil type filter in which the wire is wound in a layered manner for forming a mesh, and the contact surface pressure is produced by tension applied to the wire during winding of the wire (see Fig. 1 and Solution).

Re claims 4, 9: Kazuya discloses that a winding end of the wire is fixed while the tension is applied to the wire during winding of the wire (it is inherent and well known in the art that a winding end of the wire is fixed; otherwise, it is impossible to put any tension to the wire during winding. Further, the second, third and subsequent windings will fix the first winding, which can be considered as a winding end of the wire, since the windings are under constant tension).

Re claims 5, 10: Kazuya discloses that the contact surface pressure is adjusted by changing the tension applied to the wire during winding of the wire (a tension of 2-5 kgf).

## Conclusion

Application/Control Number: 10/542,537

Art Unit: 3726

- 3. Please provide reference numerals (either in parentheses next to the claimed limitation or in a table format with one column listing the claimed limitation and another column listing corresponding reference numerals in the remark section of the response to the Office Action) to all the claimed limitations as well as support in the disclosure for better clarity (optional). Applicants are duly reminded that a full and proper response to this Office Action that includes any amendment to the claims and specification of the application as originally filed requires that the applicant point out the support for any amendment made to the disclosure, including the claims. See 37 CFR 1.111 and MPEP 2163.06.
- Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the
  examiner should be directed to Rick K. Chang whose telephone number is (571) 272-4564. The
  examiner can normally be reached on 5:30 AM to 1:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David P. Bryant can be reached on (571) 272-4526. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Art Unit: 3726

/Rick K. Chang/ Primary Examiner, A.U. 3726

RC December 19, 2008